

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
7 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
8

9     **IN RE: LITHIUM ION BATTERIES**  
10    **ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

11                   **Case No.: 13-MD-2420 YGR**

12                   **ORDER DENYING MOTIONS OF INDIRECT AND**  
13                   **DIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS TO FILE**  
14                   **AMENDED CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINTS**  
15                   **UNDER SEAL**

16                   **This Order Relates to:**  
17                   **All Indirect Purchaser and Direct**  
18                   **Purchaser Actions**

19                   The Court hereby **DENIES** the Indirect and Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs' respective  
20                   administrative motions to file their Consolidated Amended Complaints under seal. (Dkt. Nos. 220  
21                   & 223.) The Indirect and Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs submitted their Consolidated Amended  
22                   Complaints under seal only because the pleadings contained information that Defendants had  
23                   marked confidential pursuant to the parties' stipulated protective order (Dkt. No. 193).

24                   On July 23, 2013, Defendants filed a letter stating that no Defendant who appeared at the  
25                   Court's July 12, 2013 pre-filing hearing seeks to maintain under seal any portion of the two  
26                   Consolidated Amended Complaints. (Dkt. No. 251.) Further, the Court has received no statement  
27                   in support of the pending motions to seal from any other Defendant, and the time for filing such  
28                   statements has elapsed. *See* Civ. L.R. 3-12(e).

29                   In light of Defendants' July 23 letter, no cause appears for granting the pending motions to  
30                   seal. Accordingly, those motions are **DENIED**. The Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs and Direct  
31                   Purchaser Plaintiffs shall file their respective Consolidated Amended Complaints in unredacted  
32                   form within five (5) business days of the signature date of this Order.

1           This Order terminates Docket Nos. 220 and 223.  
2  
3           **IT IS SO ORDERED.**  
4  
5           Dated: July 25, 2013  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

  
YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE